

JAN 10 2008

CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

ARTURO ARREOLA-LEYVA,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 07-30238

D.C. No. CR-06-00408-AJB

MEMORANDUM<sup>\*</sup>

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the District of Oregon  
Anna J. Brown, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted January 7, 2008<sup>\*\*</sup>

Before: O'SCANNLAIN, SILVERMAN and GRABER, Circuit Judges.

We have reviewed the record and the opening brief and conclude that the questions raised in this appeal are so insubstantial as not to require further argument. *See United States v. Hooton*, 693 F.2d 857, 858 (9th Cir. 1982) (per

---

<sup>\*</sup> This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

<sup>\*\*</sup> The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

07-30238

curiam) (stating standard). The United States Supreme Court's decision in *Almendarez-Torres v. United States*, 523 U.S. 224, 247 (1998), remains binding on this court until the Court overrules it. *See United States v. Weiland*, 420 F.3d 1062, 1079 n.16 (9th Cir. 2005) (noting that this court remains bound by the Supreme Court's holding in *Almendarez-Torres* that the district court may enhance a sentence on the basis of prior convictions, even if the fact of those convictions was not found by a jury beyond a reasonable doubt); *see also United States v. Grisel*, 488 F.3d 844 (9th Cir. 2007).

Accordingly, the government's motion for summary affirmance of the district court's judgment is granted.

**AFFIRMED.**